CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT
AT LYNCHBURG, VA
FILED

OCT 2 4 2012

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA LYNCHBURG DIVISION

JULIA C. DUDLEY, CLERK
BY: DEPUTY CLERK

BEULAH ROSE, - Case No.

Case No.: 6:12 CVCOOO!

Plaintiff,

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

v.

LAWRENCE JOSEPH ROACH d/b/a
LAW OFFICE OF LARRY ROACH and
LAW OFFICE OF LARRY ROACH,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

BEULAH ROSE ("Plaintiff"), through her attorneys, alleges the following against LAWRENCE JOSEPH ROACH d/b/a LAW OFFICE OF LARRY ROACH and LAW OFFICE OF LARRY ROACH (collectively "Defendants"):

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA").
- 2. Defendants acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy."
- 4. Defendants conducts business in the State of Virginia, and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.

5. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Arvonia, Buckingham County, Virginia.
- 7. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3), and according to Defendant, Plaintiff allegedly owes a debt as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 8. Defendants are alleged debt collectors as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and sought to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 9. Defendants are, or are part of, a collection law firm with a place of business in Akron, Ohio.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Defendants place telephone calls to Plaintiff in an attempt to collect an alleged debt from Plaintiff.
- 11. Upon information and belief, the alleged debt arises from transactions which were for personal, family, and/or household purposes.
 - 12. Defendants place telephone calls to Plaintiff at xxx-xxx-3344.
- 13. In or around the beginning of July of 2012, Defendants placed a collection call to Plaintiff and left a voicemail message. *See* transcribed message as Exhibit A hereto.
- 14. Defendants informed Plaintiff that it had a "confidential" message for Plaintiff and that it needed a "return phone call as soon as possible," providing telephone number 866-204-3699 (extension 4003). See Exhibit A.

- 15. Defendants identifies the Law Office of Larry Roach, but does not inform Plaintiff that it is a debt collector or otherwise advise Plaintiff that it is calling about a debt collection matter. See Exhibit A.
- 16. As a result, Plaintiff did not have any information from Defendants' message as to the true nature of the call and was left only knowing that a law firm was calling her for some unknown reason.

COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 17. Defendants violated the FDCPA based on the following:
 - a. Defendants violated § 1692e(10) of the FDCPA by using deceptive means in an attempt to collect a debt;
 - b. Defendants violated § 1692e(11) of the FDCPA by leaving Plaintiff a message that did not disclose that it was a debt collector.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, BEULAH ROSE, respectfully requests judgment be entered against Defendant, LAWRENCE JOSEPH ROACH d/b/a LAW OFFICE OF LARRY ROACH and LAW OFFICE OF LARRY ROACH, for the following:

- 18. Statutory damages pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k,
- 19. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k
 - 20. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

DATED: August 31, 2012

Richard W. Ferris, Esq.

VSB# 31812

530 East Main Street

Suite 710

Richmond, VA 23219

(804) 767-1800

(888) 251-6228 FAX

rwferris@ferriswinder.com